Mains Matrix

Table of content

Should India take global leadership on climate change?

Context:

The discussion takes place ahead of **COP30 (Conference of the Parties)** to be held in **Belém, Brazil (November)**. Global headwinds have left many developed countries reluctant to lead climate action, raising the question of whether **India should take global leadership** on climate change.

Opening Context

Key Premise:

- The **U.S.** has withdrawn from the **Paris Agreement**.

- The **European Union** is hesitant to lead.

- **Brazil** (COP30 host) is emphasizing implementation.

- There's a vacuum in **climate leadership** — could **India** fill it?

Discussion Summary

1. Hisham Mundol (HM): Optimistic but Realistic View

- Usually, there's a "glass half full" view before COPs.

- Expects less sweeping outcomes compared to **Paris Agreement (2015)**.

- Sees emergence of an **"Axis of Good"** — countries working cooperatively on climate issues.

- Notes India's **steady hand** in negotiations — not glamorous, but dependable.

- Believes India has made progress and must now **implement existing promises**.

2. Ulka Kelkar (UK): Finance and Implementation Focus

- **Finance** is the most critical aspect — not just pledges, but actual flow of funds.

- Developing countries need **grants, not loans** for climate adaptation.

- Notes India's **USD 3.5 trillion annual finance requirement** by 2035 for climate targets.

- Calls for a **"Baku to Belem" roadmap** covering both **public and private finance**.

- Stresses the need to include **philanthropies and development banks**.

Key Questions Discussed

Q1. Isn't implementation something countries should do locally?

UK:

- Many COPs face last-minute breakdowns due to negotiation delays.

- Implementation can't happen without climate finance and technology access.

- Need for **private investment** alongside public funding.

Q2. Why are even official emission targets insufficient to limit warming below 2°C?

UK:

- Current commitments are insufficient; high costs deter developing countries.

- Finance, tech sharing, and capacity-building lag behind.

HM:

- Cooperation must be **bottom-up**, not just large multilateral pledges.

- Example: Lessons from **HIV/AIDS** — success came from small-scale innovation and community participation.

Q3. How can countries avoid the blame game and evolve creative funding solutions?

HM:

- Encourage collaboration between private sector, philanthropy, and local initiatives.

- Example: **Solar-powered cold chains** for agriculture — adaptation + mitigation.

Q4. What should India's updated Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and National Adaptation Plan (NAP) look like?

HM:

- India's target: **50% electricity from non-fossil sources by 2030.**

- Progress visible — about **half of installed capacity** is renewable.

- Suggests **including explicit renewable targets in NDCs**, like green hydrogen.

- Even incremental changes will signal strong intent.

UK:

- India's biggest challenge is **industrial emissions**, not energy use.

- Suggests a **long-term NDC target** for industrial sector — process-based emissions.

- Notes need to **expand electrification** and **renewable use** in industry.

Q5. How can India prioritize climate projects better?

UK:

- India could revive the idea of a **list of priority climate projects**.

- Suggests selling **credits** or **solar shares** in the global carbon market.

- Differentiate between **adaptation** and **mitigation** projects.

- Better coordination can make funding more predictable.

Notable Quotes

"India has never been the most glamorous player on international climate negotiations, but it has something far more valuable than glamour — and that is a steady hand."
— *Hisham Mundol*

Overall Takeaways

Theme	Hisham Mundol	Ulka Kelkar
India's Role	Stable, credible actor with moral leadership potential	Can lead through practical finance and implementation solutions
Finance	Include private sector, philanthropy	Demand grant-based climate finance
Implementation	Local + collaborative	Needs predictable funding flow
Future NDCs	Codify renewables, hydrogen, adaptation	Focus on industrial emissions & long-term goals

Central Idea:

India need not be the most flamboyant leader but can provide stable, credible, and pragmatic leadership in climate action — through financial innovation, inclusive partnerships, and grounded implementation.

==How to use it==

India stands at a pivotal moment where it can transition from being a **responsible stakeholder** in global climate negotiations to a **pragmatic leader**. This leadership would not be based on flamboyance but on **credibility, implementation prowess, and an ability to bridge the gap between the developed and developing world**, all while navigating its own development imperatives.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper III (Environment, Economy)

1. Conservation, Environmental Pollution and Degradation, Environmental Impact Assessment:

- **How to use:** This is the core domain. The entire discussion is about India's climate strategy.

- **Key Points:**

    - **India's Credibility:** Use Hisham Mundol's point about India's "steady hand." Highlight India's track record: it is largely on track to meet its Paris Agreement commitments, with **~50% of its installed electricity capacity now from non-fossil sources**, closing in on its 2030 target ahead of schedule.

    - **The Implementation Challenge:** Discuss Ulka Kelkar's emphasis on moving from pledges to

projects. This is where India can lead by example through schemes like the **National Solar Mission, PM Surya Ghar, and the National Green Hydrogen Mission**.

    - **The Next Frontier - Industrial Decarbonization:** A key insight is that India's future challenge is **industrial emissions**. Leadership would involve setting ambitious **NDC targets for the industrial sector**, promoting electrification, green hydrogen, and energy efficiency.

2. Indian Economy and issues relating to Planning, Mobilization of Resources, Growth, Development and Employment:

- **How to use:** The financial dimension is critical.

- **Key Points:**

    - **The Finance Gap:** Cite the staggering figure of **USD 3.5 trillion required by 2035** for India's own climate goals. This underscores that leadership is impossible without solving the finance puzzle.

    - **Innovative Finance:** Discuss the

solutions proposed: moving beyond traditional grants and loans to include **private investment, philanthropic capital, and development banks**. The idea of a **"Baku to Belem" roadmap** and selling **solar shares in global carbon markets** are innovative suggestions.

- o **Moral Authority:** India can lead the charge for **grant-based finance** for adaptation in developing countries, arguing that loans exacerbate debt burdens.

Secondary Relevance: GS Paper II (International Relations)

1. Bilateral, Regional and Global Groupings and Agreements involving India and/or affecting India's interests:

- **How to use:** India's role in global forums like the COP is central here.

- **Key Points:**

    - o **Filling the Vacuum:** With the **U.S. withdrawn and the EU hesitant**, there is a leadership vacuum. India can step in to keep the momentum of the Paris

Agreement alive, forming an **"Axis of Good"** with other willing nations.

- o **Bridge Builder:** India is uniquely positioned to mediate between the developed world (which has historical responsibility) and the developing world (which needs energy for growth). Its leadership would be that of a **bridge-builder and a pragmatic deal-maker**.

2. India and its Neighborhood-Relations:

- **How to use:** Climate leadership can enhance regional influence.

- **Key Points:**

    - o India can share its expertise in solar power, disaster-resilient infrastructure, and climate-smart agriculture with its neighbors through initiatives like the **International Solar Alliance (ISA)**, positioning itself as a provider of solutions.

The UN matters, as a symbol of possibility

Author: Shashi Tharoor

Central Theme / Core Thesis

The **United Nations**, approaching its 80th anniversary, remains **indispensable but imperfect** — a "**symbol of possibility**" that embodies global hopes for peace, cooperation, and justice.

However, to remain relevant in a rapidly changing world, it must undergo **urgent structural reforms**, particularly of the **UN Security Council (UNSC)**, and recommit to its founding ideals.

1. Historical Context and Evolution

Aspect	Details
Origin	Founded in 1945 after World War II to prevent another global conflict and promote collective security.
Nature	Born from tragedy, not triumph — a pragmatic institution designed to maintain peace, not utopia.
Track Record	Mixed performance — failures like **Rwanda** and **Srebrenica**; successes like **Namibia** and **East Timor**.
Characterization	A "**work in progress**" — reflects global

Aspect	Details
	aspirations but also contradictions of its members.

2. Changing Global Context

Dimension	Observation
Power Shift	Transition from a **bipolar (Cold War)** to a **multipolar, fragmented world**.
Erosion of Multilateralism	Rise of nationalism and protectionism; skepticism towards global cooperation.
Outdated Institutions	UNSC remains **"frozen in 1945"**, dominated by WWII victors, ignoring present realities.

3. Strengths and Contributions of the UN

Function	Key Contributions
Humanitarian Action	UNHCR, WFP, UNICEF deliver critical relief during crises.
Peacekeeping	Stabilizes conflict zones and prevents escalation.

Function	Key Contributions
Normative Power	Promotes universal values — human rights, gender equality, and development.
Agenda Setting	Frameworks like **Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)** guide global cooperation.
Platform for Dialogue	Only universal forum where all nations can negotiate and deliberate.

4. Criticisms and Limitations

Issue	Explanation
Dependence on Member States	UN cannot act independently; bound by political will of members.
Veto Power Abuse	UNSC's functioning distorted by **P5 vetoes**, undermining legitimacy.
Selective Enforcement	Powerful nations evade accountability for violations of international law.
Financial Constraints	Withholding of dues (notably by the U.S.) cripples operations.

Issue	Explanation
Representation Gap	Emerging powers and developing nations underrepresented in leadership structures.

5. The Case for Reform and India's Role

Aspect	Details
Need for Reform	UNSC and UN structures reflect outdated power realities; legitimacy and efficiency at stake.
India's Claim	- World's largest democracy

- Major contributor to UN Peacekeeping

- Rising global economic and moral power

- Exclusion from permanent UNSC membership is a **"glaring anomaly"** |
| **India's Vision** | Advocates a **principled, multipolar world order** based on sovereignty, equity, and inclusivity. |

6. Proposed Reforms

Reform Area	Recommendations
UNSC Reform	Expand permanent and non-permanent membership to reflect contemporary geopolitics.
Operational Agility	Streamline decision-making, use digital tools, and empower field operations.
Moral Authority	UN must "**speak truth to power**" amid misinformation and polarization.
Member-State Commitment	Requires political will and financial support — **"champions, not just critics."**

7. Broader Implications

Theme	Implication
Global Governance	UN reform is vital for legitimacy and effectiveness of multilateralism.
India's Diplomacy	Strengthens India's case for global leadership and UNSC seat.
Peace and Stability	A revitalized UN can better address new-age crises — climate change, AI governance, pandemics.

Key Quotes / Takeaways

"The UN remains a **symbol of possibility** — a mirror to humanity's best hopes and worst failings."
— *Shashi Tharoor*

"It requires champions, not just critics; partners, not just participants."

How to use it

The United Nations is an **indispensable but flawed institution**. Its value lies not in its perfection, but in being the **only universal platform for international dialogue and cooperation**. For it to remain relevant in the 21st century, comprehensive reform, especially of the UN Security Council (UNSC), is not just desirable but essential to reflect contemporary geopolitical realities.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper II (International Relations)

1. Bilateral, Regional and Global Groupings and Agreements involving India and/or affecting India's interests:

- **How to use:** This is the most direct application. The entire article is about the premier global grouping.

- **Key Points:**

  - **A Balanced View:** Do not simply call the UN a failure. Adopt Tharoor's nuanced stance: it is a "**symbol of possibility**"

with a "**mixed record**." Cite both **successes (Namibia, East Timor)** and **failures (Rwanda, Srebrenica)** to demonstrate a critical understanding.

- **The Case for Reform:** The core of the argument. Explain why the UN, particularly the UNSC, is "**frozen in 1945**." The veto power of the P5 (US, Russia, China, France, UK) and the exclusion of rising powers undermine its legitimacy and effectiveness.

- **India's Stakes and Role:** This is crucial for a UPSC answer.

  - **India's Claim:** Use Tharoor's points to build India's case for a permanent UNSC seat: **world's largest democracy, major contributor to peacekeeping, a rising economic power**. Frame its exclusion as a "**glaring anomaly**."

  - **India's Vision:** Link this to India's broader foreign policy goal of

a **multipolar world order**that is more equitable and representative.

2. Important International institutions, agencies and fora- their structure, mandate.

- **How to use:** The article provides a critical analysis of the structure and mandate of the UN.

- **Key Points:**

  - You can discuss the structure of the UNSC and the problems arising from the veto power.

  - Contrast the UNSC with the UN General Assembly to highlight the democratic deficit in the UN's structure.

Secondary Relevance: GS Paper III (Security) & GS Paper IV (Ethics)

1. GS III: Security challenges and their management in border areas:

- **How to use:** The UN's role in peacekeeping is a key tool for international security.

- **Key Points:**

  - Mention India's significant contributions to UN Peacekeeping as a testament to its commitment to global peace and as a reason for its claim to a greater role.

2. GS IV: Ethics and Human Interface:

- **How to use:** The UN is fundamentally based on an ethical framework.

- **Key Points:**

  - The UN's role in promoting **universal values like human rights, gender equality, and sustainable development (SDGs)** aligns with ethical governance.

  - The need for the UN to "**speak truth to power**" is an ethical imperative in a world of misinformation and power politics.

> **The requirement of labelling AI-generated imagery is a good start**

Core Thesis

The Indian government's proposal to mandate the labelling of AI-generated content is a positive and necessary step to address the risks of disinformation and deception, advancing the global conversation on the issue. However, effective governance will require dynamic and agile follow-up action.

Key Arguments and Observations

1. The Problem: Proliferation of AI-Generated Content

- **Pervasiveness:** AI-generated "deepfakes" and "slop" have become widespread, used in everything from low-budget ads to political caricatures.

- **Rising Concerns:** The rapid development of technology in 2024 raised significant concerns about:

  - Electoral integrity

  - The spread of disinformation

- **Deception and Harm:** The technology is improving weekly, making photorealistic content that can deceive the public. Public personalities have had their likenesses usurped for unscrupulous projects.

2. The Solution: Mandatory Labelling

- **Government Action:** The Union government has proposed an amendment to the IT Rules, 2021, to make labelling AI-generated content mandatory.

- **Rationale for Action:**

  - The potential for disinformation to "explode into virality" and harm democracy is high.

  - Deceptive technology is continuously improving.

- **Industry Support:** Unlike other warnings (e.g., for smoking), labelling has had **industry buy-in from the start**.

o **Examples:** Meta labels AI content on Facebook; the C2PA coalition is working on "digital provenance" standards.

3. Criticisms and Caveats

- **Legislative Method:** Using "subordinate legislation" (IT Rules) may not be ideal, as these rules regulate vast areas of the internet without being explicitly tested in Parliament.

- **Need for Agility:** The government must be willing to follow up dynamically by:

  o Relaxing rules that have outlived their utility.

  o Introducing new rules as required.

- **Regulatory Lag:** The article acknowledges the longstanding challenge that "regulation lags behind innovation."

How to use it

The move to label AI-generated content represents a critical attempt to balance **technological innovation with societal safety**. It highlights the government's proactive approach to a 21st-century challenge but also underscores the inherent difficulties of **regulating a fast-evolving digital ecosystem** through traditional legislative means.

Primary Relevance: GS Paper III (Science & Technology, Internal Security)

1. Awareness in the fields of IT, Computers, Robotics, Nano-technology, Bio-technology:

- **How to use:** This is the most direct fit. The issue is about the societal impact of a specific IT advancement—Generative AI.

- **Key Points:**

  o **Double-Edged Sword of Technology:** Frame AI as a transformative tool with immense potential for creativity and productivity, but also a potent weapon for creating **disinformation ("deepfakes")** and **"slop"** (low-quality, mass-generated content).

  o **Proactive Governance:** The government's proposal to amend the **IT Rules, 2021** is an example of trying to establish a **regulatory framework for emerging technologies**. This shows an understanding that technology cannot be allowed to develop in a legal vacuum.

  o **The Challenge of Pace:** Highlight the core problem: **"regulation lags**

behind innovation." This is a classic dilemma in tech governance. The article's call for "dynamic and agile" follow-up is a key takeaway for a forward-looking policy approach.

2. Challenges to Internal Security:

- **How to use:** AI-generated disinformation is a direct non-traditional security threat.

- **Key Points:**

  - **Threat to Electoral Integrity:** Deepfakes can be used to create fake videos of politicians, potentially manipulating public opinion and undermining the integrity of elections—a fundamental pillar of democracy.

  - **Social Harmony:** Malicious actors can use this technology to create content that incites violence or spreads hatred between communities.

Secondary Relevance: GS Paper II (Governance) & GS Paper IV (Ethics)

1. GS II: Government Policies and Interventions for Development in various sectors:

- **How to use:** The labelling mandate is a specific policy intervention.

- **Key Points:**

  - **Critique of Legislative Method:** The article provides a sophisticated critique. Using **"subordinate legislation" (IT Rules)** instead of a full-fledged parliamentary law allows for agility but may lack the thorough scrutiny and democratic legitimacy of an Act of Parliament. This is a nuanced point about the process of governance in the digital age.

2. GS IV: Ethics, Integrity and Aptitude in Governance:

- **How to use:** The core of the issue is ethical.

- **Key Points:**

  - **Ethical Use of Technology:** Mandatory labelling is an attempt to enforce **transparency and informed consent**. It allows users to know they are interacting with AI-generated content, upholding the ethical principle of truthfulness.

  - **Accountability:** The policy seeks to create

accountability for creators and platforms, ensuring

they do not knowingly deceive the public.